9 Jun 1981

MEMORANDUM FOR:	Deputy Director Physical, Techn		Security	-= 3
FROM:				25X1
	Information Sys	tems Security C	roup, OS	
SUBJECT:	December 1 ODD Do	liou Evaluding	ADPE of Foreign	
SUBJECT:	Manufacture	True Literating	ADIA OF TOTOLOGIC	.25X1
RI BRENCE:		, dated 4 May 1	.981, same	
	subject.			
	(b) Memorandum	dated 21 April	1981 from	
	C/MS/ODP t	O C/PD/OL (ODP	81-437)	
1: This Of	Efice fully support reference (b). This	s the policy of	itlined in entally was firs	+
paragraph z or i surfaced in our	joint OS/ODP Compu	ter Security Wo	orking Group and	
in fact, prior t	to final publication	on by the Office	e of Data	
Processing (ODP)	), Management Staff	(MS) was coord	linated with the	
Office of Securi	ity (OS), Informati	on Systems Sect	irity Group	.÷25X1
(1886).				7
2. With re	espect to the two b	pasic concerns	raised by ODP;	
A TOTAL CONTRACTOR OF THE STATE			hath at the	
a. The	ere is a distinct ting point and/or at	nreathto Auru i the servicing	end via a devic	e.
used for th	ne purpose already	stated in refer	rence (b). We	
believe, ho	owever, that there	would be better	r ways for a	•
	(friendly) government		information but	•
that threat	t nevertheless exis	cs.		
b. Red	garding service reg	oresentatives -	any foreign	
national re	epresentative of the	nese manufactur	ers would not be	<b>&gt;</b>
permitted e	entry to the Headqu	larters complex	for obvious	
security re	easons.	•	•	25 <b>X</b> 1

- 3. In answer to specific questions listed in attachment (1) of reference (a), we have the following comments (corresponding to paragraphs in attachment):
  - a. We view these parameters as the entire system to include individual components of a computer, e.g., main CPU, terminals, memory units, I/O devices, channel devices, as well as communication equipment.

25X1

- b. We would prefer that these be made at time of procurement as part of the procurement process. Inquiries should be made as to foreign affiliation and if possible place of manufacture of computer units under procurement consideration. There should be no exceptions unless there are overriding circumstances not now envisioned and then only with written concurrence by the Director of Security and the Director of Data Processing.
  - c. No. We believe that this is an internal Agency policy matter necessitated by the DCI's legal responsibility to protect Sources and Methods. DDO data which is processed on our computers would certainly be considered in this subject area.
  - d. We do not specifically analyze new computer equipment for implanted devices or for modifications. Our Technical Security Division/Office of Security people.

Regarding visiting service representatives: service technicians who regularly maintain our computer equiment must be cleared and badged (VNE badge includes polygraph interview). Service personnel on the Agency account, who regularly service our equipment but who do not qualify for a badge (less than 100 visits per year) are also generally appropriately cleared. In the event there are no badged or cleared service personnel available, uncleared representatives will be asked to perform maintenance functions under escort by a "knowledgeable" individual. Of course this procedure is difficult to enforce in the daily work environment.

- Community policy in the information security area in order to update existing regulations/directives and will consider this question as part of that review. Additionally, we feel that it should also apply to the Intelligence Community (e.g., to member Agencies processing SCI under DCID 1/16).
  - f. To our knowledge there is currently no policy Community-wide specifically addressing this matter. Until very recently, as you know, there was a specific "buy-American" clause in the GSA procurement directives which now has been voided by Executive Order. Further, historically the United States computer manufacturers have not had any competition from foreign companies. However, with recent technical strides in computer manufacturing by Japan, the problem of using foreign computers will become more defined.

25X1

٠.		. :	2
		· .	
•			
		;	
		i .	
		·	
	•: .		
h. We are not	as concerned about Unit	ed States computer	
manufacturers usir	g transnational corporat	ions for parts,	
etc., as we are of	foreign manufacturers p	producing this	•
computer equipment	in their own country un	der their, "total"	
control In short	, we have to live with t	he "facts of life" 🦙	7.
of American busine	ss	A Section of the Control of the Cont	•
Many quest	ions would first have to	be answered such	٠.
. as degree of forei	gn control; the distribu	tion process for	· 
this equipment, to	pes of contracts		25)
consideration.			25)
			•
	<u>e questions need further</u>	discussion and	٥.
anation.			25) 25)
. be the point of co	ntact within ISSG on thi	.s issue.   '	20/
		• •	
			Ŀ
			·· .
		-	25
	報告の「Allender」である。 ・		
		•	

ATT. C